

(6) (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP (S) 6421 OF 2006

PETITIONER:

Dwarka Prasad Bhoria, S/o Shri
Barosalal, Age 45 Year,
Tracer- Land Record Branch
Collectorate, District Kabirdham
(Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 6473/06
Presented by S. S. Sanyal
dated 28-11-2006

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1-

State of Chhattisgarh Though-
Secretary Revenue Department
DKS. Bhawan Raipur.[C.G.]

RECEIVED-COPY
20/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR - 2-

Commissioner of Settlement
and
Land Record, Raipur Gandhi
Chowk, Raipur [C.G.]

Writ Petition Under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 30.11.2006 / सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>30.11.2006</u> Shri Ashok Vaishnav, counsel for the petitioner. Smt Anju Ahuja, Dy GA for respondent No.1 / State. None for respondent No.2. Heard. Vide order dated 13.06.1990 petitioner along with other 19 candidates including Rajendra Prasad Gupta, Suresh Shukla, Balistar Yadav, Vijay Bahadur Dubey & Laxman Singh (henceforth "Rajendra and four others") was appointed as Tracer by Settlement Officer, Surguja. After appointment, petitioner joined his services and similarly Rajendra and four others also joined and were continuing their services. On 30.03.1992, all of them were dispensed with from their services. Feeling aggrieved, Rajendra and four others filed original application before Madhya Pradesh Administrative Tribunal at Jabalpur (henceforth "the Tribunal") for quashing the order dated 30.03.1992 whereby their services had been terminated and also for re-instating with all consequential benefits. Learned Tribunal vide order dated 27.06.1998 passed in OA No.1120/1992 quashed the termination order dated 30.03.1992 and declared all the petitioners entitled to all consequential benefits. Feeling aggrieved, the State filed petition bearing WP No.1549/99 under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India before the High Court challenging the order passed by the Tribunal in OA No.1120/1992. This Court vide order dated 22.02.2006 dismissed the petition filed by the State, as a result thereof, the order passed by the	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 6421/2006

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
padma	Tribunal in OA No.1120/1992 has presently reached to its finality. In compliance to order passed by the Administrative Tribunal, State Government vide order dated 23.03.2005, regularised the services of Rajendra and four others by appointing them temporarily under regular pay scale, whereas the same benefit was not given to the similarly placed petitioner. The petitioner has sought relief that he may be allowed benefit of regularization on the same footing as has been allowed to other similarly placed persons along with consequential benefits thereof. Although he has filed a representation before the State Government on 06.09.2006, but the same has not so far been decided and he has been kept deprived of the fruits which other similarly placed persons are availing. In view of the above circumstances, respondents are directed to decide petitioner's representation dated 06.09.2006 pending before them within three months from today in the light of order dated 27.06.98 passed by MP Administrative Tribunal in OA No.1120/92 and the order dated 22.02.2006 passed by this Court in WP No.1549/1999. Thereafter, if any exigency arises, the petitioner may renew his petition. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands disposed of.	Sd/- V.K.Shrivastava Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

1/12/06